

Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal State of Louisiana

No. 26-C-181

MMAA, LLC, M AND A LOANS, LLC,
and MICHAEL KIRSCHMAN

versus

ARCHON INFORMATION SYSTEMS, L.L.C.
and BRYAN BARRIOS

IN RE ARCHON INFORMATION SYSTEMS, LLC AND BRYAN BARRIOS
APPLYING FOR SUPERVISORY WRIT FROM THE TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT, PARISH OF JEFFERSON, STATE OF LOUISIANA, DIRECTED TO THE HONORABLE
RAYMOND S. STEIB, JR., DIVISION "A", No. 857-105

TRUE COPY

May 04, 2026



LINDA TRAN
DEPUTY CLERK

Panel composed of Judges Fredericka Homberg Wicker,
Jude G. Gravois, and Stephen J. Windhorst

WRIT DENIED, STAY DENIED

Defendants/relators, Archon Information Systems, LLC and Bryan Barrios, seek review of the trial court's denial of their petition for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. Relators seek to enjoin plaintiffs-respondents, MMAA, LLC, M and A Loans, LLC, and Michael Kirschman, from collecting and/or enforcing the March 5, 2026 judgment rendered for \$2,921,000.00, plus interest in favor of respondents and against relators. Relators also seek an emergency stay from this court.

Relators request emergency or expedited consideration for all relief sought herein, yet relators have not appealed the judgment of March 5, 2026, the execution of which they now seek to enjoin.¹ Further, relators are not in compliance with Local Rules 14 or 15. We have nevertheless given emergency consideration to this application, but we set no precedent by doing so.

Generally, a party seeking the issuance of a preliminary injunction must show that he will suffer irreparable injury if the injunction does not issue, *and* must show entitlement to the relief sought; this must be done by a *prima facie* showing that the party will prevail on the merits of the case. Yur-Mar, LLC v. Jefferson Par. Council, 11-669 (La. App. 5 Cir. 3/13/12), 90 So.3d 1137, 1139. While relators herein may be able to show irreparable harm, they have presented no basis to support that they are entitled to relief. Relators have not made a *prima facie* showing they will prevail on the merits.

In addition, neither the transcript nor the trial court's minute entry are included in this writ application. Thus, we have no way of knowing whether testimony or other evidence in support of their petition was introduced into evidence at the April 30, 2026 hearing.

Further, relators had the opportunity to file a suspensive appeal and bond to suspend execution of the March 5, 2026 judgment, but they chose not to do so.

Considering the foregoing, on the showing made, relators have not shown they are entitled to any relief. Accordingly, we deny this writ application. We also deny relators request for a stay.

Gretna, Louisiana, this 4th day of May, 2026.

SJW
FHW
JGG

¹ It appears that the time for devolutive appeal of the March 5, 2026 judgment has not expired.

SUSAN M. CHEHARDY
CHIEF JUDGE

FREDERICKA H. WICKER
JUDE G. GRAVOIS
MARC E. JOHNSON
STEPHEN J. WINDHORST
JOHN J. MOLAISSON, JR.
SCOTT U. SCHLEGEL
TIMOTHY S. MARCEL

JUDGES



FIFTH CIRCUIT
101 DERBIGNY STREET (70053)
POST OFFICE BOX 489
GRETNA, LOUISIANA 70054
www.fifthcircuit.org

CURTIS B. PURSELL
CLERK OF COURT

SUSAN S. BUCHHOLZ
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

LINDA M. TRAN
FIRST DEPUTY CLERK

MELISSA C. LEDET
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL STAFF

(504) 376-1400
(504) 376-1498 FAX

NOTICE OF DISPOSITION CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE DISPOSITION IN THE FOREGOING MATTER HAS BEEN TRANSMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **UNIFORM RULES - COURT OF APPEAL, RULE 4-6** THIS DAY **05/04/2026** TO THE TRIAL JUDGE, THE TRIAL COURT CLERK OF COURT, AND AT LEAST ONE OF THE COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY, AND TO EACH PARTY NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AS LISTED BELOW:

CURTIS B. PURSELL
CLERK OF COURT

26-C-181

E-NOTIFIED

24th Judicial District Court (Clerk)
Hon. Raymond S. Steib, Jr. (DISTRICT JUDGE)
Mark C. Landry (Respondent)
Brennan C. Ardoin (Relator)

MAILED